



BOCC Audit Recommendations Status Report As of March 31, 2020

Inspector General Department







BOCC Audit Recommendations Status Report As of March 31, 2020



To: The Honorable Linda Doggett, Lee County Clerk of the Circuit Court & Comptroller

From: Tim Parks, Chief Internal Audit Officer/Inspector General

Date: March 31, 2020

Re: Lee County Board of County Commissioners (BOCC)

Audit Recommendations Status Report as of 03/31/2020

Dear Ms. Doggett,

The Inspector General Department has completed its *BOCC Audit Recommendations Status Report* as of March 31, 2019, which reflects the implementation status of outstanding audit report recommendations for the Lee County Board of County Commissioners. The report fulfills the IG Department's accountability for reporting on issues through their resolution.

This audit activity conforms to the Institute of Internal Auditor's (IIA) *International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing (Red Book)* and the Association of Inspectors General (AIG) *Principles and Standards for Offices of Inspector General*.

The follow-up on recommendation status was conducted during the first quarter of 2020. We reviewed the status of the audit recommendations with the department personnel responsible for implementing the audit recommendations.

The follow-up report contains information regarding each outstanding recommendation, including recommendation status, management action taken, and Internal Audit follow up notes.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Parks

Tim Parks, Chief Internal Audit Officer/Inspector General Inspector General Department

TJP/GK





BOCC GCN Digital Retrofit Project Feasibility		
(Project 2016.12, Issued January 2017)		
BOCC GCN Digital Retrofit Project Feasibility		
Observation	Recommendation	Original Management Response
The risks associated with the analog portion of the GCN include: • The current analog system does not meet the Department of Homeland Security's inoperability standards. In the event of large-scale emergencies and disasters, communication with external government agencies could potentially be difficult, and require workarounds. • The production of existing analog radio system equipment was discontinued in 2010-2011, with correlated supplier repair and technical support ending as of December 31, 2018. Thus, there is the potential impact of GCN communication services which are difficult to repair. There is no assurance that analog parts will be obtainable from government agency surplus donations or from online used equipment suppliers. • There are currently fewer towers than is necessary to remedy poor outdoor and inbuilding radio coverage in Central, South, and East Lee County.	We recommend rapid adoption and completion of the BOCC GCN all-digital conversion to: • Comply with Department of Homeland Security interoperability standards. • Get beyond the end-of-life system issues that may cause continued difficult radio maintenance issues. • Prepare for future County-wide growth and expansion. • Allow for effective communications between authorities during large-scale emergencies and disasters.	The Department of Public Safety (DPS) is currently working with County Administration and Budget Services to move forward in the development of a plan for update and replacement of the GCN to an all-digital radio network. This project will include acquisition of a contracted consultant to design and plan the system, and working with County Administration and Budget Services to thoroughly explore all available funding sources, and develop a Capital Improvement Plan for the project. The Department of Public Safety is committed to a thoughtful and thorough evaluation of the audit's recommendation, and will assure the information contained herein remains relevant in the Government Communications Network replacement plan moving forward.
Estimated Implementation Date	Revised Implementation Date 9/30/2021	Status Le Pres gross
6/30/17 Last Status Update	9/30/2021 Current Recommendation Action	In Progress IA Follow-up Notes
N/A	7/17/17 - Procurement has issued the RFP for the	7/17/17 - Management is taking action on the
IV/A	Radio Communications P25 Migration Consultant.	project. We will continue to monitor the adoption
	It remains open until August 23, 2017.	process until completion.





4/9/2018	It remains open at this time.	GCN is currently engaged with the consultant and is expecting to be out to bid within 90 days. We will continue to monitor, with follow up in 90 days.
6/30/2018	It remains open at this time.	"Consultant's initial phase of work is done and we're crafting the RFP for competitive bid."
9/14/18	It remains open at this time.	"The competitive RFP is expected to be issued within 60 Days"
4/8/2019	It remains open at this time	The installation of the microwave radio backhaul equipment & installation will be completed by 6/30/19. The P25 system was opened for bid as of 4/8/19.
6/25/2019	It remains open at this time	"Procurement has posted the notice of decision on the P25 replacement RFP Monday, and the protest period ends Thursday. If there are no protests, this will move toward contract negotiations this summer."
9/30/2019	It remains open at this time	Board approved replacement of the system, awarded to Motorola, on Sept. 17. 24 Month implementation
BOCC Emergency Medical Services		
(Project 2016.18, Issued March 2017) Policies and Procedures		
Observation	Recommendation	Original Management Response
Written policies and procedures were insufficient to document the processes that are followed by EMS to monitor or reconcile service billing and collection activities.	We recommend that documentation be developed and implemented to formalize the policy and maintenance procedures. Written policies and procedures are industry standards that define how organizations deal with everyday operational items to comply with regulations and codes. Failure to have and maintain current policies and procedures can lead to inefficiencies and confusion.	The Department of Public Safety (DPS) is currently working with McKesson to develop a process to allow more specific monitoring of patient accounts. DPS leadership is also inquiring with other similar EMS agencies around the country how they handle account reconciliation in their services. Our goal is to have these processes in place by the end of this fiscal year.





Estimated Implementation Date	Revised Implementation Date	Status
9/30/17	9/30/2020	In Progress
Last Status Update	Current Recommendation Action	IA Follow-up Notes
N/A		Management change and natural disaster (Hurricane Irma) have put this behind schedule. We will follow up until completion.
4/9/2018	Remains open at this time	We have engaged the support of a billing consultant to help us a broader evaluation of our billing processes; there is no estimated timeline for completion at this point.
6/30/2018	Remains open at this time	"We continue to work with the vendor to clarify roles/responsibilities; we're also going out to bid for these services in the fall, as the contract expires in September 2019."
9/14/2018	Remains open at this time	"We are rebidding this project to explore the options available to us in the market. That timeframe is TBD."
3/31/2019	Remains open at this time	There is no change in the timeframe to rebid the contract.
6/25/2019	Remains open at this time	"We expect to take a new contract for billing services to the BoCC by the first meeting in September."
9/30/2019	Remains open at this time	The BOCC is in the process of securing a new vendor. However, the current contract was extended for 6 months pending longer term agreement. That will be completed this quarter. Policies and Procedures will be updated with new contract.





BOCC Lee County Civic Center		
(Project 2019.17, Issued October 2019)		
Separation of Duties		
Observation	Recommendation	Original Management Response
The Fair Association used a parking fee collection report to separately track activity by event, and the information reconciled with QuickBooks. However, the individual who prepared the parking fee collection reports also input the data into QuickBooks.	reports from the individual who enters the data into QuickBooks.	This procedure was not asked in a clear manner during the audit, to ensure a clear answer of the process. There are several layers currently in place. A Manager will (continue to) oversee and verify the preparation of the reports, and designation of the respective funds.
Best practices require that the individual who collects the cash and records activity per event must not be the same individual that processes data into QuickBooks:		
"Separation of duties is an important control of cash receipts. No person should be able to initiate, record, authorize and reconcile a transaction. The separation of duties assures that mistakes, intentional or unintentional, cannot be made without being discovered by another person."		
Estimated Implementation Date	Revised Implementation Date	Status
8/29/19	3/31/2020	Implemented and closed
Last Status Update	Current Recommendation Action	IA Follow-up Notes
12/23/19	The Fair Association continues to exercise a separation of duties between the person who collects the parking fees and prepares the collection report from the person who enters the data into QuickBooks.	To be confirmed by Fair Association





WENTAL PARTY OF THE PARTY OF TH		
3/23/2020	Per Fair Association "The Bookkeeper is the person who enters the data into Quickbooks. The Administrative person who oversees the Box Office (we don't have a title for that position) is the person who prepares the parking fee collection reports, and always has."	Implemented
Related Party Transaction Disclosure		
Observation	Recommendation	Original Management Response
We noted that the Fair Association entered into business transactions with three board members in 2017 and 2018. The Fair Association did not have written conflict of interest policy. The Fair Associations IRS Form 990 of 2017 and 2018 incorrectly indicated that there was a written policy addressing related party transactions, and that they did not enter into any related party transactions.	 Creates a written policy addressing related party transactions following the IRS recommended conflict of interest policy Discloses related party transaction on IRS Form 990 if applicable 	Response to Bullet 1: The Fair Association has a Conflict of Interest Policy in its By-Laws. The Board has adopted an additional Conflict of Interest Policy. Response to Bullet 2: Management will confer with the CPA regarding applicability. Will be implemented and discussed monthly - no written supporting documentation to provide.
Estimated Implementation Date	Revised Implementation Date	Status
12/31/19	5/30/2020	In Progress
Last Status Update	Current Recommendation Action	IA Follow-up Notes
12/23/19	The Fair Association continues to work with our (external) CPA firm regarding the inclusion of related party transactions on the IRS Form 990, as applicable.	To be confirmed by Fair Association
3/23/2020	Per Fair Association, "In light of the all circumstances that surround all of us at this time, we anticipate a filing in early May."	To be confirmed by Fair Association.
Accounting for Amortization of Leasehold Improvement		
Observation	Recommendation	Original Management Response





Observation	Recommendation	Original Management Response
Contract Monitoring		
(Project 2018.15, Issued October 2019)		
BOCC Contract Management		
3/23/2020	Per Fair Association, "Accounts have been adjusted as of 6-30-19."	Implemented
	(external) CPA firm to amortize leasehold improvements over either the life of the lease, or the useful life of the asset, whichever is shorter	
Last Status Update 12/23/19	Current Recommendation Action The Fair Association continues to work with our	IA Follow-up Notes To be confirmed by Fair Association
12/23/19	3/30/2020	Implemented and closed
Estimated Implementation Date	Revised Implementation Date	Status
fee over the ten year span (2008-2018). The amortization for the leasehold improvements was not correctly recorded. Pursuant to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, if the tenant pays for leasehold improvements, the capital expenditure is recorded as an asset on the tenant's balance sheet. Then the expense is recorded on income statements as amortization over either the life of the lease or the useful life of the asset, whichever is shorter.		
Civic Center complex related capital improvements were separately tracked from fair operation related leasehold improvements. The Fair Association spent more than the parking fee revenues in the prior two fiscal years, and they spent over half of total parking	We recommend that the Fair Association work with the external auditor to ensure the proper presentation for amortization of leasehold improvements.	Management will continue to work with and confer with the CPA regarding the proper presentation for amortization of leasehold improvements. Will be implemented and discussed monthly - no written supporting documentation to provide.





A survey of 25 project managers and fiscal employees was conducted. The purpose of the survey was to document the process they followed to ensure that vendors are complying with key contract deliverables and whether written procedures were available. There were nine responses consisting of: One had written procedures Eight described the monitoring procedures they followed One believed that the PMO would be the individuals to contact	We recommend that the PMO encourage user departments to document the process they follow to ensure that vendors are complying with key contract specifications and deliverables.	Procurement Management will send out guidance to County departments explaining their responsibility for the project management process and encouraging departments to document the process they follow to ensure contract compliance.
Estimated Implementation Date	Revised Implementation Date	Status
3/31/2020	6/30/2020	In Progress
Last Status Update	Current Recommendation Action	IA Follow-up Notes
3/18/2020	N/A	Management has been contacted and are in the process of providing a response.
Solicitation Documents		
Observation	Recommendation	Original Management Response
As part of the solicitation process, there is documentation that must be completed and included in the contract file. Ten contract files were reviewed to determine whether the required solicitation documents were completed and included in the file. There were three contract files that had incomplete or missing documentation. The risks of incomplete or missing documentation	We recommend that written procedures be developed outlining the solicitation supervisory review process to mitigate the risk of incomplete and missing documentation in the contract file.	Procurement Management has implemented the use of a thorough solicitation process workflow department wide that functions as a checklist for the completion of the project and its corresponding file, which includes all required forms. Additionally, SOPs are being developed to provide a more in-depth detailed description of the procedures and tasks to be accomplished during the solicitation review and documentation process.
may include: • Financial loss • Regulatory non-compliance • Legal liabilities • Timeliness		





Estimated Implementation Date	Revised Implementation Date	Status
3/31/2020	6/30/2020	In Progress
Last Status Update	Current Recommendation Action	IA Follow-up Notes
3/18/2020	N/A	Management has been contacted and are in the
		process of providing a response.
Confidential Personal Information		
Observation	Recommendation	Original Management Response
The PMO must obtain confidential personal information from vendors in order to conduct	We recommend that the appropriate personnel be contacted to discuss the modifications necessary to	Procurement Management will work with the IT department of the Lee County Clerk of Court to make
business with the County.	secure and limit access to confidential personal information.	the necessary changes/adjustments to E1 to secure and limit access to confidential personal information
We tested to determine whether any confidential		as described in the report.
personal information was visible to internal users that	ıt	•
had no business need. It was determined that		
confidential personal information was visible to all		
internal users who had access to E1.		
Excessive user access to confidential personal		
information increases the risk of unauthorized usage		
and dissemination of information.	Daviged Implementation Date	Status
Estimated Implementation Date	Revised Implementation Date	
12/31/2019	3/31/2020	Implemented and closed
Last Status Update	Current Recommendation Action	IA Follow-up Notes
12/18/2019		The Director was OOTO and a response has not
		been received. This has been discussed with the DIAO.
		Management's response is pending. This issue
		has been discussed with the DIAO.
2/12/2020	Additional Restrictions have been added so that	N/A
	the confidential personal information field would	
	not be visible by all E1 internal users.	
Continuous Insurance Coverage		
Observation	Recommendation	Original Management Response





Contracts and solicitation documents state under the Vendor's Insurance section that "Vendor shall, on a primary basis and at its sole expense, maintain in full force and effect, at all times during the life of this Agreement, insurance coverage" A review was conducted to determine if insurance was current and whether supporting documentation was received. Out of 41 contracts reviewed, ten (24%) did not include proof of active insurance coverage.	compliance with providing proof of continuous insurance coverage.	Tracking and managing vendors' continuous insurance coverage is an area of responsibility that was only recently initiated by this department. Previously, coverage was verified at the issuance of a purchase order or execution of a contract, but there was no mechanism to track its expiration and ensure that coverage was in place throughout the year or the contract term. Now, vendor insurance is entered into a contract management system and expiration dates are tracked, but it is an incredible volume of work. Because the process is new, and the number of insurance coverages tracked is so great, improvements are being continuously sought to make follow-up more effective and promote better vendor compliance.
Estimated Implementation Date	Revised Implementation Date	Status
3/31/2020	6/30/2020	In Progress
Last Status Update	Current Recommendation Action	IA Follow-up Notes
3/18/2020	N/A	Management has been contacted and are in the process of providing a response.
Future Implementations		
Observation	Recommendation	Original Management Response
The PMO was in the process of developing and implementing operating processes to enhance internal controls. The enhancements included: • Developing written standard operating procedures • Adding a second user department reviewer to the E1 requisition approval process There were no written standard operating procedures associated with the procurement and	 Developing written operating procedures for consistency and training guidance Adding a user department approver to the E1 requisition approval path to prevent inaccurate or unmonitored entries 	As stated in the audit report, this department is currently drafting and implementing Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for the various functions under the Procurement umbrella. This is a significant undertaking that must be accomplished in tandem with all other business handled by this department. The objective is to write, finalize and implement six SOPs each calendar year, and revise these as required. Procurement Management is working with the
contract processes. Written policies and procedures		County's departments to have second user department reviewers added to the E1 purchase





serve as a guide to agencies and their personnel to		requisition approval process. Some departments have
ensure consistency with the different contract and		made this change, and others are in the process of
procurement processes.		establishing a second reviewer.
According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance		
No. 18-22, the user department has the authority to		
procure and the department director has the authority		
to approve purchases that do not exceed \$49,999.99.		
Not all departments have an approver for authorizing		
a purchase requisition in the Enterprise One financial		
reporting system (E1) requisition approval process.		
The risk is a requisition could be opened without the		
user department director's knowledge.		
Estimated Implementation Date	Revised Implementation Date	Status
3/31/2020	6/30/2020	In Progress
Last Status Update	Current Recommendation Action	IA Follow-up Notes
3/18/2020	N/A	Management has been contacted and are in the
		process of providing a response.
Purchase Order Tracking		
Observation	Recommendation	Original Management Response
		Original Management Response Procurement Management initiated audits twice
Observation		Procurement Management initiated audits twice
Observation According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance	We recommended that PMO provides oversight and	Procurement Management initiated audits twice
Observation According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance No. 18-22 3.10 Single Source, states that "When a Purchase exceeds the threshold amount for Tier 3,	We recommended that PMO provides oversight and monitor purchase orders to provide quality assurance and mitigate the risk of non-compliant purchases.	Procurement Management initiated audits twice yearly of Countywide spends to spot check
Observation According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance No. 18-22 3.10 Single Source, states that "When a Purchase exceeds the threshold amount for Tier 3, the item will be placed on the agenda for Board	We recommended that PMO provides oversight and monitor purchase orders to provide quality assurance and mitigate the risk of non-compliant purchases.	Procurement Management initiated audits twice yearly of Countywide spends to spot check expenditures outside the formal solicitation process, ensure adherence to Board authorization, and to
Observation According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance No. 18-22 3.10 Single Source, states that "When a Purchase exceeds the threshold amount for Tier 3, the item will be placed on the agenda for Board approval and certification that the Vendor has been	We recommended that PMO provides oversight and monitor purchase orders to provide quality assurance and mitigate the risk of non-compliant purchases.	Procurement Management initiated audits twice yearly of Countywide spends to spot check expenditures outside the formal solicitation process,
Observation According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance No. 18-22 3.10 Single Source, states that "When a Purchase exceeds the threshold amount for Tier 3, the item will be placed on the agenda for Board approval and certification that the Vendor has been determined to be a Single Source." The threshold	We recommended that PMO provides oversight and monitor purchase orders to provide quality assurance and mitigate the risk of non-compliant purchases.	Procurement Management initiated audits twice yearly of Countywide spends to spot check expenditures outside the formal solicitation process, ensure adherence to Board authorization, and to identify any red flags or opportunities to formally
Observation According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance No. 18-22 3.10 Single Source, states that "When a Purchase exceeds the threshold amount for Tier 3, the item will be placed on the agenda for Board approval and certification that the Vendor has been determined to be a Single Source." The threshold amounts for tier 3 is between \$50,000-\$99,999.99.	We recommended that PMO provides oversight and monitor purchase orders to provide quality assurance and mitigate the risk of non-compliant purchases.	Procurement Management initiated audits twice yearly of Countywide spends to spot check expenditures outside the formal solicitation process, ensure adherence to Board authorization, and to identify any red flags or opportunities to formally
Observation According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance No. 18-22 3.10 Single Source, states that "When a Purchase exceeds the threshold amount for Tier 3, the item will be placed on the agenda for Board approval and certification that the Vendor has been determined to be a Single Source." The threshold	We recommended that PMO provides oversight and monitor purchase orders to provide quality assurance and mitigate the risk of non-compliant purchases.	Procurement Management initiated audits twice yearly of Countywide spends to spot check expenditures outside the formal solicitation process, ensure adherence to Board authorization, and to identify any red flags or opportunities to formally
Observation According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance No. 18-22 3.10 Single Source, states that "When a Purchase exceeds the threshold amount for Tier 3, the item will be placed on the agenda for Board approval and certification that the Vendor has been determined to be a Single Source." The threshold amounts for tier 3 is between \$50,000-\$99,999.99. A sample of eleven vendors with purchases that exceeded Tier 3 was reviewed. It was determined that	We recommended that PMO provides oversight and monitor purchase orders to provide quality assurance and mitigate the risk of non-compliant purchases.	Procurement Management initiated audits twice yearly of Countywide spends to spot check expenditures outside the formal solicitation process, ensure adherence to Board authorization, and to identify any red flags or opportunities to formally
Observation According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance No. 18-22 3.10 Single Source, states that "When a Purchase exceeds the threshold amount for Tier 3, the item will be placed on the agenda for Board approval and certification that the Vendor has been determined to be a Single Source." The threshold amounts for tier 3 is between \$50,000-\$99,999.99. A sample of eleven vendors with purchases that exceeded Tier 3 was reviewed. It was determined tha at least two purchases from two vendors needed to go	We recommended that PMO provides oversight and monitor purchase orders to provide quality assurance and mitigate the risk of non-compliant purchases.	Procurement Management initiated audits twice yearly of Countywide spends to spot check expenditures outside the formal solicitation process, ensure adherence to Board authorization, and to identify any red flags or opportunities to formally
Observation According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance No. 18-22 3.10 Single Source, states that "When a Purchase exceeds the threshold amount for Tier 3, the item will be placed on the agenda for Board approval and certification that the Vendor has been determined to be a Single Source." The threshold amounts for tier 3 is between \$50,000- \$99,999.99. A sample of eleven vendors with purchases that exceeded Tier 3 was reviewed. It was determined tha at least two purchases from two vendors needed to go through the Single Source process. In addition, one	We recommended that PMO provides oversight and monitor purchase orders to provide quality assurance and mitigate the risk of non-compliant purchases.	Procurement Management initiated audits twice yearly of Countywide spends to spot check expenditures outside the formal solicitation process, ensure adherence to Board authorization, and to identify any red flags or opportunities to formally
Observation According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance No. 18-22 3.10 Single Source, states that "When a Purchase exceeds the threshold amount for Tier 3, the item will be placed on the agenda for Board approval and certification that the Vendor has been determined to be a Single Source." The threshold amounts for tier 3 is between \$50,000- \$99,999.99. A sample of eleven vendors with purchases that exceeded Tier 3 was reviewed. It was determined tha at least two purchases from two vendors needed to go through the Single Source process. In addition, one service agreement did not include an expiration date.	We recommended that PMO provides oversight and monitor purchase orders to provide quality assurance and mitigate the risk of non-compliant purchases.	Procurement Management initiated audits twice yearly of Countywide spends to spot check expenditures outside the formal solicitation process, ensure adherence to Board authorization, and to identify any red flags or opportunities to formally solicit goods or services.
Observation According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance No. 18-22 3.10 Single Source, states that "When a Purchase exceeds the threshold amount for Tier 3, the item will be placed on the agenda for Board approval and certification that the Vendor has been determined to be a Single Source." The threshold amounts for tier 3 is between \$50,000- \$99,999.99. A sample of eleven vendors with purchases that exceeded Tier 3 was reviewed. It was determined tha at least two purchases from two vendors needed to go through the Single Source process. In addition, one service agreement did not include an expiration date. Estimated Implementation Date	We recommended that PMO provides oversight and monitor purchase orders to provide quality assurance and mitigate the risk of non-compliant purchases. Revised Implementation Date	Procurement Management initiated audits twice yearly of Countywide spends to spot check expenditures outside the formal solicitation process, ensure adherence to Board authorization, and to identify any red flags or opportunities to formally solicit goods or services. Status
Observation According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance No. 18-22 3.10 Single Source, states that "When a Purchase exceeds the threshold amount for Tier 3, the item will be placed on the agenda for Board approval and certification that the Vendor has been determined to be a Single Source." The threshold amounts for tier 3 is between \$50,000-\$99,999.99. A sample of eleven vendors with purchases that exceeded Tier 3 was reviewed. It was determined that at least two purchases from two vendors needed to go through the Single Source process. In addition, one service agreement did not include an expiration date. Estimated Implementation Date 3/31/2020	We recommended that PMO provides oversight and monitor purchase orders to provide quality assurance and mitigate the risk of non-compliant purchases. Revised Implementation Date 6/30/2020	Procurement Management initiated audits twice yearly of Countywide spends to spot check expenditures outside the formal solicitation process, ensure adherence to Board authorization, and to identify any red flags or opportunities to formally solicit goods or services. Status In Progress
Observation According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance No. 18-22 3.10 Single Source, states that "When a Purchase exceeds the threshold amount for Tier 3, the item will be placed on the agenda for Board approval and certification that the Vendor has been determined to be a Single Source." The threshold amounts for tier 3 is between \$50,000-\$99,999.99. A sample of eleven vendors with purchases that exceeded Tier 3 was reviewed. It was determined that at least two purchases from two vendors needed to go through the Single Source process. In addition, one service agreement did not include an expiration date. Estimated Implementation Date 3/31/2020 Last Status Update	Revised Implementation Date 6/30/2020 Current Recommendation Action	Procurement Management initiated audits twice yearly of Countywide spends to spot check expenditures outside the formal solicitation process, ensure adherence to Board authorization, and to identify any red flags or opportunities to formally solicit goods or services. Status In Progress IA Follow-up Notes
Observation According to Lee County Procurement Ordinance No. 18-22 3.10 Single Source, states that "When a Purchase exceeds the threshold amount for Tier 3, the item will be placed on the agenda for Board approval and certification that the Vendor has been determined to be a Single Source." The threshold amounts for tier 3 is between \$50,000-\$99,999.99. A sample of eleven vendors with purchases that exceeded Tier 3 was reviewed. It was determined that at least two purchases from two vendors needed to go through the Single Source process. In addition, one service agreement did not include an expiration date. Estimated Implementation Date 3/31/2020	Revised Implementation Date 6/30/2020 Current Recommendation Action N/A	Procurement Management initiated audits twice yearly of Countywide spends to spot check expenditures outside the formal solicitation process, ensure adherence to Board authorization, and to identify any red flags or opportunities to formally solicit goods or services. Status In Progress





BOCC Animal Services

(Project 2019.16, Issued January 2020)		
Animal Care Trust Fund		
Observation	Recommendation	Original Management Response
We noted that the Animal Services Department did not uniformly maintain documentation of all grant agreements. Upon request, Animal Services was able to obtain a copy of the agreement from the grantor. Upon review of the grant agreement, it was noted that approximately \$55,000 of funds went unspent since 2015. Additionally, per Lee County Ordinance 14-22, which provides for the Department to maintain an Animal Care Trust Fund, the fund is required to be assessed annually. As a result of the lapse in assessment, the Department had carryover funds that potentially may have provided for the immediate and necessary care of the animals in their care.	We recommend the Animal Services Department maintain all written grant agreements and spend down all Animal Trust Fund funds per County Ordinance.	Lee County Domestic Animal Services (LCDAS) is utilizing the Animal Care Trust Fund to for kennel upgrades that will provide better enrichment and stimulation while the dogs are in the adoption play yards behind the shelter. We will be do this through the use a landscape architect that will provide a conceptual design for the installation of stimulating natural features and the installation of synthetic turf in the large adoption play yard. Additionally we are working with SiriusXM to play consistent calming music while they are in their cages. We are also working with a vendor to provide durable, lasting, and (most importantly) cleanable cat trees as a method to reduce stress and provide enrichment making the cats more adoptable while maintaining health. There are other smaller projects that we are working on, but we still in the planning phase. Additionally, if awarded grants in the future, LCDAS will maintain all written grant agreements.
Estimated Implementation Date	Revised Implementation Date	Status
12/30/2020		In Progress
Last Status Update	Current Recommendation Action	IA Follow-up Notes
3/20/2020	N/A	Management has been contacted and are in the process of providing a response.